App F IX-4

Preserve

1930.

(Ml)

File No. 200-30

Serial Nos. 4, 6, 12
21, 23, 26, 27 & 36

Previous References

Later References
Ml 264-32-1-2
138-33-166

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 16

Part B for November 1932

SUBJECT.

Water Supply to Serengapatam and Ganjam

36. The D C. Mysore

L 3170 9 8-11-32

States that the Scheme has to lie over
till the finances of the Municipality improve

MW 20 2-33

General and Revenue Secretariat | I. B. File No 28 of 1930

Serial No

11

Petition without date from Messrs Srikanta B. Sastrie and other residents of Seringapatam Complaining of the scarcity of water in the town and praying for orders to allow water at once to the Karaikkars for drinking purposes and for providing a permanent source of water supply to the town.

Dewan's orders on the petition.

"The river is closeby and there is power. It is well to think of a scheme for giving drinking water to the place. Please let me know if there is any proposal for supply of water to this town.

Intd. M.N.K.

25-9-30

2) In connection with a petition having been received in the Dewan's office on 3rd February 1930 from the inhabitants of Ganjam and Seringapatam, praying for supply of water through pipes, the Executive Engineer, Sanitary and Town Planning Division submitted a report in May 1930 to the Chief Engineer that the cost of giving a filtered water supply to Seringapatam and Ganjam by means of Hydraulic ram would cost about Rs. 1,12,400/- and that the annual maintenance charges would be about Rs. 714/-. When the papers were submitted to the Third Member and the Dewan, it was observed that the scheme proposed was hopelessly expensive and the Executive Engineer, Sanitary and Town Planning Division has been asked whether a somewhat cheaper scheme may not be attempted. The question is being dealt

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—30 000—GPB—8-7 30

K 1749

File No | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE
1869
16 OCT 1930

with in the P.W. Sectt.

3 Submitted with reference to the Dewan's orders on the petition.

AS
9/10/30 Gen. Secy)

VS
14.10.30

4 Submitted to Dewan.

VS
15.10.30

5) Mark 15.10.30

QR
21/10
VS

6) If agreed, the petition with the above notes may be transferred to the P.W. Secy for disposal

AS
21/10/30

VS
2.10.30

P.W. Sectt)

Reg No 0/11 108 & 27 15/20

Public Works Secretariat | File No | of 193

Serial No

D 1382

Slip 'N'



Subject: Water supply to Ganjam & Seringapatam.

7)

Resubmitted with reference to orders dated the 8th June 1930 on this office note No.D.1588 of the 5th idem.

2. Two independent schemes for the two places Seringapatam and Ganjam costing Rs.38,300 and 40,190, respectively, are received from the Executive Engineer and these estimates cannot be further reduced.

3. The maintenance charges amount to Rs.1,646 and 1,346 respectively. The estimates will be forwarded to the General Secretary to Government to ascertain the views of the Municipality in the first instance.

THIRD MEMBER)

M. Narayana 15/4/31

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Camp - Mysore,
17th April 1931.

TSRN/15th

8) We (am afraid their) financial means will not permit them to take part in such schemes. There are however some simple funds which may be able to give some assistance

5902 17/4/31

9) 21-4-31

Dewan)

21.4.31

Note -Contn sheet

WD 762—GPB—1 000—17 10 30

Public Works Department
Central Office

File No. of 19

Serial No

D 1382 / 24.4.31

REVENUE SECTT. 5130 27 APR 1931 L & G. BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE ... COUNCIL 30 APR 1931 7707

10) General Secy (Thro' Compliments) MLR. 26/4/31

Mr M B

Dy Secy 3rd Member 30.4.31

29/4/31

11) Dy — I/S

Issue RB 1/5/31

12) 1.5.31

To the Dy: Commr, Nilgiris Division
No. L 9080 dt 15-5-1931

Forwards two schemes with estimates for water supply to Seringapatam & Sanjam costing Rs 38300 & Rs 40190 & involving annual maintenance charges of Rs 1646 & 1346 & requests to ascertain from the Mc: cl as to how they propose to meet the charges

Secy A

From — Do — No. D3 1624/30 dt 22.5.31 States that the contribution from the Seringapatam Mc: cl. is proposed to be limited to 25% of the initial cost & further to make available the

File No

General and Revenue
Secretariat

8730 + 1060, 1068

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 193

Serial No	
P W D I	Amount as a loan carrying 6% interest from the invested funds of the Late Krishnadas Charities & Late Narayanaswamy Temple subject to repayment in 20 equal annual instalments".
P W D II	Petition from Messrs Narasimhaya and others of Seringapatam, praying that early arrangements may be made for giving good drinking water to the town 13) Dewan's orders thereon "In what stage is the question of drinking water supply to Seringapatam Intd. M.N K 24/8/31
P. W. D. I	14) The previous papers on the subject referred to in Para 7 of the Deputy Commissioner's letter on the subject (D 3740) were sent to the Chief Engineer in Mysore for favour of opinion on 18/2/31, and they have not yet been received back.
D	15) The municipal council of Seringapatam at their meeting held on 31 5-31, have resolved that as the finances of the municipality do not admit of any portion of the initial outlay being met, Govt be requested to grant as a special case, the municipal contribution to 25%

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0255—50,000—GPB—8 7 31

General [illegible]
Secretariat

File No. 200 of 1930

of the non-recurring charges, and to invest
available this amount, as a loan carrying
4 percent interest from the invested funds
of Nand Kishnadas Charities and also the
Narayana Swamy Temple, subject to the
condition that the loan is repayable
in twenty equal annual instalments. The
estimated recurring charges viz Rs 3400
will be met by levying the mohatarfa
tax and by levying a water-tax at a
flat rate of 5% thereon.

4) The current year's allotment in
the State Budget for "water Supply to towns"
under "30 Grants public improvements" has
not been distributed yet, and some amount
has to be set apart for the Tiptur water
supply scheme. It may therefore not
be possible to provide any amount
towards the scheme for Sirugapatna
this year.

17) Further, as per instructions issued
with Go no K 7662-83 dtd 61-30-2
dated 17 3 31, in regard to the Sinking
of drinking water wells, the Sanitary Engineer,
Rocke Feller foundation has to be consulted
as to the suitability or otherwise of the Scheme
from the Sanitary point of view before the
work is undertaken.

18) As regards the grant of a loan
to the ward, the municipal council proposes
to repay the same by levying the mohatarfa tax

Telep Hobb

Public Works Secretariat | File No | Year of 1931

Serial No

and levying a water tax at a flat rate

mrl 241-30-7) C

From the Sanctioned budget estimates of the mcl for the current year it is seen that the normal income and expenditure of the mcl practicaley balance each other and the municipal council have no investments and the closing balance under Service heads is just equal to the prescribed minimum

1) The Chief Engineer may (approved) be consulted in the first instance, (vide Para 8 give notes) and then the financial and mural branches may also be consulted as regards the grant give loan from out of funds of Navl Krishnadas Chantis and Peta Narayanaswamy temple

Submitted for orders

GENERAL SECRETARY. 4 SEP 1931 No 1746

31 8/31 20) Gen Secy) 4-9-31

21) The Revenue & Municipal Branches may be consulted in the first instance in the grant of the loan. & the proper of the DC Council to insist the contribution of the local body to pay 25% Revenue expenditure

4 " 1931

Note—Contn sheet

WD 7—GPB—3 000—15 7 29

L 1068.

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 200 of 1930-31

Serial No

Mys & No 259
7 9 31

2) Murz. Br)

VS
7.9.31

3) D/f letter to Comptroller calling for information about the Cash & Security balances of the Seri Peke Narasimhaswamy Temple and of the Trust Kesthura Dasa Charities Fund & submit to Govt. Issue & submit to H. Secy for perusal. 7/9/31

VS
7.9.31

DEWAN'S OFFICE. 1886. 14 SEP 1931

Issued No 387 8 9.31

25) Mr. Deviah and others saw me on the 12th instant and stated that almost all the wells in the town had dried up and that the need for relief was urgent as the difficulty on account of drinking water was very great. They pressed for the adoption of the second scheme sent up by the Sanitary Department and urged that if the supply for both Seringapatam and Ganja could not be arranged for at once, that for the former at least might be sanctioned without delay. The capital cost for both the towns comes to Rs.78,490/-. If the supply is to be limited to Seringapatam, the capital outlay on this part of the scheme would be Rs.40,190/-. The annual operation and maintenance

TYPING SECTION 7 SEP 1931 4.45 P.M. V SECTT

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01604—G PB—50 000—8 7 30

charges amount to about Rs.3,000/- for both the towns and to about Rs.1,700/- for Seringapatam alone. I gave them distinctly to understand that even the second scheme costing nearly Rs.80,000/- was an altogether impossible measure now and that if no cheaper scheme was thought of the problem should remain unsolved.

Two alternatives were considered:-

(1) Limiting the first stage of the scheme to the supply of unfiltered water.
Quantity of water to be supplied to be in the first instance 5 gallons per head per day instead of 10 gallons as assumed in the estimate. Distribution to be limited to the chief streets and to the provision of a few taps in each street and

(2) utilisation if possible of the electric pumping equipment of the Seringapatam Railway Station for supply of water to Seringapatam and Ganjam.

26) Mr. Deviah stated that he believed that pipes and other articles required for the water supply scheme might be available out of the materials of the Hulikere Tunnel Works which will soon be completed and requested that these articles might be supplied at a cheap rate for use in carrying out the scheme.

27) Mr. Marker was present at the time and he has been given instructions to investigate the two alternatives and make an early report. The question as to how the cost of the scheme should be met can be considered only after this report is received.

GENERAL SECRETARY 17 SEP 1931 No 2066

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 18 SEP 1931 No 726

Sir A.

(Gen. Secy) 16.8 31 (Hon. Member) 17 30

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 200 of 1930

Serial No	
	31) The report referred to in para 27 supra has not yet been received here from Mr Marker A dft to him requesting to expedite the submission of the same is suggested for favour of Consideration 3/12 5/12/31 32) Issue 5.12.31
12 "	From The Ex. Engr, Water-Supply Div D.O. No: 80 dt 10.12.31 States that the matter was subsequently discussed with the Dewan ~~Resident~~ intimating that it is not possible to use the Seringapatam Rly Sketches for the Town Supply also and that a rough scheme to utilize Bangaradoddi channel water will be submitted in 2 or 3 days thro' the Rockefeller Foundation Sanitary Engineer
	33) The alternative scheme proposed above will be awaited. Submit for orders. (Gen Secy) 12.12.31 12.12.31 16/12/31

TYPING SECTION 5 DEC 1931 3-45 PM GEN. & REV SECTT

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 14 DEC 1931 (12)

SWD 0741—1,000B—50 000—8 7 30

34) The alternative scheme promised by the Executive Sanys Engineer has not yet been received
Submitted with a draft
28/1/32

Issued 5381 dt 30/1/32

ENG SECTION
29 JAN 1932
CENL & PEV SECTT

DEWAN'S OFFICE
2097
26 APR 1932

Issued.

35) This file was taken to the Dewan's office - & has been returned just today at 4 P M. A reminder is put up for kind approval.
26.4.32

Issued
28/4

Issued No C 7384 dt 30-4-32 to the Sanitary Engineer, Rockefeller Foundation to expedite the submission of the estimate

ENGINEERING SECTION

Letter No C 397 dated the 29th April 1932 from the Director of Health forwarding for perusal and orders the papers connected with the Seringapatam Water supply scheme and stating that the Sanitary Engineer has examined the scheme and that the present revised estimates provide for an expenditure of Rs 29,950 or Rs 30000 (in round figures, as against the original estimate for Rs. 38'300 21

36) The revised estimates show that there

M File No 25'68/2 & 4285/2 200 of 1930.

Serial No

would be a net saving of Rs 8350
(Vide Comparative Statement of
Flag D the Executive Sanitary Engineer)
Submitted with reference to the
Dewan's orders dated 14.9.31
(Vide paras 26 and 27 supra)

JMS 13/5 37) The views of the Municipality
re their Contribution & Contactor's
H Rs 865 cheis @ 22 & 3

AP 14/5 38) Please ascertain from the
Thirsai Branch of the information called
for from the Comptroller in para 23 Supra
regarding the balances at the credit of the
Sri Narayanaswami temple & the Tamil Krishna
Dass Charities has been recd.

JM 16/5

39) The information called for from the
Comptroller in para 23 supra ~~& Contra~~ has
Flag d been received and is in the linked file
JM 17/5 The closing balances at the credit of the
two institutions were on 30.6.31
Rs 103 24-10-3 and Rs 3786-9-10 respectively.

AP 16/5 40) Submit with reference to orders in para 27 Supra

41) The points for consideration and
orders are —

(i) Acceptance of the revised scheme for
P.W.D Rs 30,000 the scheme is stated to
have been examined by the Chief Engr

NOTE—Contn Sheet

(2) Financing of the Scheme ..... Usually half the cost is met by Govt & the other half by the Mcl concerned. In this case, in view of the slender financial condition of the Municipality, the Mcl Council have requested that their contribution may be limited to 25% of the total estimate & that even this amount may be granted to them as a loan from the funds of the Ward Khomadoss Charities of the Sri Narayana Swami temple, the loan being repaid in 20 years. The balances at the credit of these institutions are given in the ~~Municipal~~ Comptroller's letter dt 9/4/31 and whether the Madurai Depot is agreeable to the grant of the loan to the Mcl & if so on what conditions have to be ascertained from the Madurai Coll. ~~Whether the proposal to grant the contribution~~ necessary

42) Whether the Council's contribution may be limited to 25% as requested & if so whether Govt are prepared to meet the other 75% & in how many years are for orders. The Govt contribution for the Tirumalai Water Supply Scheme has to be paid during the next year & it is doubtful whether much can be spared for the Tirunelveli Scheme & out of the provision for Water Supplies & Drains in the budget for 1931-32

43) The Jun' Secy may be consulted-

(Jun' Secy) HM 23/5

44) Yes HM 23/5 45) A The M C may be / HM 25/5 Rebates are flagged

emulated to institute 23/5 25/5

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 25 MAY 1932 No. 971 C

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 4285/1 — of 193 — [App F III—2

Serial No

Fd 4956/26 532

GENERAL SECRETARY
28 MAY 1932
No.

2105017631
30.5.32.
1494/31-32

1494/31 32.

Returned with compliments.

47) There are not sufficient funds at the credit of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities to advance the loan applied for by the Seringapatam Town Municipality. The small cash balance available is required for the renovation of the temples at Paschimavahini attached to these Charities.

48) The loan of Rs.7500/- may, however, be advanced from the funds of the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple at Seringapatam provided the municipality undertakes to pay interest at 6¼% instead of 4% as sufficient cash balance is not available at the credit of the institution and as 6¼% loan bonds of 1940 have to be sold to realize the required amount. The payment of the annual instalment with the proportionate interest should be guaranteed and adequate provision made in the budget estimates of the Municipality.

4 7. 32 Muzrai Commissioner.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

VIBGM—GBPM—60 000—13 8-31

File No

Serinagapatam Water Supply

General and Revenue Secretariat

MH File No 4709 200 of 1930

Serial No	
23	Letter NO 1261 dated the 30th May 1932 from the Executive Sanitary Engineer, Bangalore, forwarding for orders a modified scheme costing Rs ~~31,180~~ 13,205/- for water supply to Serinagapatam
	49) The main file (MH 200-30-21) has been sent unofficially to the Director Mysore Commissioner of ~~Health~~ in Mysore two days back.
	Her ~~enclosed~~ ~~for~~ orders ~~of~~ the return of the file may perhaps be awaited
	50) To meet their share of the original estimate viz Rs 31,180 the Municipal Council of Serinagapatam ~~wanted~~ proposed that a loan of the amount required might be given to them from the funds of the ~~exist~~ the Hari Krishnadoss charities of the Sri Bote Narayanaswami temple. The Mysore Govt has been requested to give ~~their~~ his opinion in the matter & the file has been sent to him unofficially
	51) The ~~modified estimate~~ Modified Scheme now proposed is said to cost Rs 13,205/- Of Govt meet a moiety of the cost, the Municipality will have to bear the other moiety viz Rs 6600/- which has, perhaps to be met by raising a loan as stated above whether Govt can pay their portion from the next year's

Draft to the Director Health or the Mysore Government Veterinary Surgeon Urgent - MG 3/6

The file has been sent to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore

MHS 4/6

grant has been decided with reference to Water Conservancy
the requirements of Water Mans.

52) Steps for ascertaining the return of the
main file from the Narasaraopet may also be ensured
before further action is taken.

53) The Secretary Engineer ~~advised~~ letter
may also be sent in the meanwhile for the perusal of
the Members & the Devasthanam

Addl
Gen' Secy)
54) Please see Note ~~to~~ 4/6
file sent for finalisation of work of the 2nd phase of the
work can be given

RS
7/6/72

55) A sum of Rs 25000 is provided in the
Supplementary Budget for improvements water supply in
Tirupati. It is for the Advance Opening Balance with
reference to this Commitments, if the
Demand of the Tirumalapalem Water Supply
scheme could be met out of the said
grant
are there any commitments? 8/6/72
RS 5/6

Please note
write reply
10/7/72

Bank

56)

Addl

only a sum of Rs 8000/- has been released for the
said inward Water supply to Tirupati town

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 4(1)-L of 193

Serial No

during 1932-3 There are two new schemes under consideration viz Hole Narsipur and French Rocks water supply. As the contribution from girls is taken as a moiety of the estimate girls would have to pay a sum of Rs 33,500 in the case of Hole Narsipur and Rs 22,750 in the case of French Rocks The Hole Narsipur and French Rocks water supply files are herewith marked

A

Q 27/6

57) Bhalabat Bavagada? As no a sum of Rs 500 sanctioned for the Water supply there Please make a list showing amount already sanctioned, & others which are not yet sanctioned

ATM 28/6

Sd 58

Ice subsidy grant of Rs 500 proposed for, for water supply to Bavagada town has not yet been sanctioned No file has been sent to the Camp Engineer R-7 for opinion urgently Beyond a commitment to the extent of Rs 8,000/- no other grants have yet been sanctioned -

Orders have been passed on the matter & steps will be taken accordingly ATM

Q 27/6 AS

59) The commitments so far made are -
(i) Rs 8000 for Hosur Water Supply
(ii) " 500 " Bavagada "

The other Schemes under consideration are referred to at A above.

Rs 6600 will be necessary if we have to wear a mortgage over the revised Scheme for the Bennigapalam Scheme

(Sd Illegible) ATM 28/6

GENERAL SECRETARY 24 JUN 19__ No.

See Pr---
A reminder should be issued to the Mysore Govt for the early return of the Mamfle Note—Contn Sheet
ATM 28/6
Ltr issued vide L8493 of 24-6-32)

60) Please See Ref

RG 28/6 (illegible) ATM 28/6

WD 70—GBI M—60 000—13 8 31

61) The Contribution from Govt which comes to about Rs. 6600 maybe met out of the Sum of Rs 25,000 provided in the budget for Water Supply to Towns

II nd Member

Hon'ble

Fin Min

62) Against the grant of Rs 25,000/- in the current year's Budget under "30. Grants -- 2. Improvement of Water Supply in Towns", the commitments so far made are.-

(1) Kolar Town Water Supply Scheme	Rs 14,000/-
(2) Tiptur Town Water Supply Scheme.	Rs 8,000/-
(3) Borewell in Pavagada.	Rs 500/-
Total	Rs 22,500/-

The balance available is only Rs 2,500/-

63) According to Clause 14 of G.O.No. Fl.1795-1850/G.F. 54-31-1 dated 14th September 1931, all unnecessary or deferrable items of expenditure have to be avoided. The item of expenditure now under consideration is of this type and I would suggest its being put off till next year.

64) For orders.

Reference is invited to the note flagged regarding the amount required for the Kolar Town Water Supply Scheme during the current year

Serial No

and the General Secy's remarks thereon

65) Reference is also invited to the unofficial Opinion of the Murasi Can, regarding the loan asked for by the Srungapatam Municipal Council out of the funds at the credit of the Nadi Krishna Dos Charities & the Narayanaswamy temple. It is stated that a loan of Rs 7500 may be advanced from the funds of the latter temple, provided the MCC undertakes to pay interest at 6¼ instead of 5%, as 6¼% loan bonds of 1940 have to be sold by auction to realise the required amount. The MCC will have to be consulted about this & if they agree, their share of the cost of the revised scheme, viz, Rs 6,600, will have been provided for. (in paras 47 & 48 Supra)

66) As regards Govt meeting the other half, viz Rs 6600, the matter is for orders with reference to the notes of the General & Fin' Secretaries on the last page

For orders

The Cutcherry of Srungapatam have asked for an interview with the Dewan to make a representation on the subject, and the file has been called for by the P S D

Am 14/7

Fin' Secy

Am 14/7

Sd/ The Municipal Members to wait on the Dewan on this Subject & their views may be heard.

II nd Member

Dewan

PLZ 13-7/32

Am 15/7

GENERAL SECRETARY 15 JULY 1932 No

OFFICE OF THE HON MEMBER OF COUNCIL 15 JULY 1932 M SS

69) The initial cost of the small municipal schemes Rs 13,205. The Govt may make a contribution of half this amount (the latest allotment may have to be supplemented a little) the MCC will have to find the other half. The Murasi Cour's proposal to advance this amt as a loan from the funds of the Nadi Krishna Mudaliar

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Seringapatam

File No 200 of 1930 ?? | General Secretariat

make approach

70) Before proceeding further, it would be necessary for the Maharaja to state the arrangements he will make to meet the interest sinking fund charges of the loan, and the annual maintenance charges. The Superintendent will have to be asked to work the question out & submit a report

18-7-32

DEWAN'S OFFICE 438 18 JUL 1932

A

RR 18/7/32

71) MS 19-7-32

In AH 1917

A - vert

RR 19/7/32 AH 1917

72) Attachment to

Jun 20/7

73) Please put up a fair draft as revised. Immediate

AM 20/7

74) Fair draft

Jun 21/7 RR

75) For perusal before issue

76) The number of annual instalments in which the loan will have to be repaid

Serial No

H

By the mtg has perhaps to be mentioned in the letter. In his letter dy 22-8 31, the DC Mysore stated that the Mc Council proposed repayment of the loan then asked for in 20 equal annual instalments. The N-O note of the Mysore Govt in para 47 is silent on the point & has perhaps to be understood as accepting the number of instalments proposed. The amount of loan now to be advanced for the modified scheme being Rs 6 600, a shorter period may do, seeing that the Mc Council proposed to pay Rs 4 800 per year (inclusive instalment, interest and recurring charges every year) in respect of the original scheme. All things considered the number of instalment may, it seems, be fixed at ten. Forwarded

(Gen'l Secy) 21/7

78) Dft approved RB 22/7/32

79) Issue today 22/7

GENERAL SECRETARY 21 JUL 1932 No

77) May be felled later on —

SECTION * GENL & REV SECTT * 24 JUL. 1932

26 To the Dep. Cms, Mysore
615 dy 22-7-32
Enquire what arrangements the Mc Council will make to meet the interest and sinking fund charges of the loan and the annual maintenance charges & report to Govt into the question when the whole & submit a report to Govt

Rept

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8 31

Vizagapatam water supply

Mad File No 2000 of 1936 | General and Rs 933—Secretariat

Letter no D3. 624/30 dy 29-4-32 from Collr, urging that the need is not in favour of the modified scheme costing Rs 13,205, and that the scheme proposed by the Engr costing Rs 21,275 is acceptable to the need.

---

80) The need is not in favour of the modified scheme costing Rs 13,205, prepared by the Sanitary Engr, and the scheme proposed by the Engr in his letter no 82 dy 26-1-32 addressed to the Chief Engr costing Rs 21,275. is acceptable to them.

81) The Muny. Comm reports that towards the amount of Rs 21000 (in round figures) the Municipality has offered to pay half the amount in annual instalments of Rs 1000/-, provided Govt are pleased to bear the other half, that the Board propose to meet the recurring cost by levy of water cess on Municipal buildings at the rate of 2%. Water tax, and a pilgrim tax at 6 pies per head.

82) Need for orders in regard to paras 69 & 70 supra

83) The Dy Comr's letter is not clear whether the necessary advance to be borne by the Municipality is to be advanced by Govt, getting the repayment of the same alongwith at the rate of Rs 1000/- per annum offered by the Municipality. The Mad Council have resolved on 9.8.32 that the Council are prepared to pay 6¼% interest on the loan (to be advanced by the Municipality) and whatever it is

Sec 31/8.

Serial No

The intention of the Govt cancel to take the loan from the Treasury Deposit to repay the loan ~~cost~~ at ~~once~~ by agreement of the amount offered by Mr H Ramiah (not clear) And to arrange

8) 1/9/31 The Srirangapatam Municipal Council are stated to be not in favor of the modified scheme; but are in favor of the Scheme* proposed by Mr Hankin in Jan 1932 the latter estimate Scheme is estimated to cost Rs 31,180. Part of the pipes from the Hulikere Tunnel works are to be supplied free of cost and of the establt and tools and plant charges are to be waived as proposed, the estimate will be reduced to Rs 21,173/

85) The Dy Comrs letter shows that one Mr Ramiah of Mysore has undertaken to pay half the cost of the Scheme viz Rs 10,500, provided Govt bear the other half. Mr Ramiah is stated to have deposited his 5% State Loan Bonds of the value of Rs 40,000 and he proposes to pay Rs 1000 per year towards his contribution of one-half out of the interest received annually on the bonds, till the sum of Rs 10,500 is raised.

86) It is not made clear in the Dcs letter how the said one-half of the cost has to be met in the first instance for being spent immediately. Perhaps the intention is to take the amount as loan from the Mysore Deptt at 6¼% ₹ interest. As proposed in this Office letter Dt 22.7.32 (Vide resolution of the Municipal Council Dt 9-8-32) and repay the loan out of the sum for annually from Mr Ramiah The

7 x

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8 31

The point may, if necessary, be cleared by a reference to the Dy Cmr as in the Draft put up

(7) The following points have to be considered —

(i) Whether the modified Scheme or the other one is to be adopted ;

(ii) If the costlier scheme is to be adopted, whether the pipes can be given free of cost & the estabt charges can be waived ;

(iii) Whether funds can be spared this year for Govt share of the cost viz Rs10,500, having regard to other existing commitments. The Supdt Engineer Bureau of Govt Engineering has been asked separately for his proposals for the distribution & the water supply grant of Rs 25,000, and

(iv) Whether the ways and means proposed by the Mcl for meeting the annual maintenance charge, viz by the levy of water cess on Mohalla & a pilgrim tax may be accepted

(8) In his U.O note dt 4-7-32, the Muzrai Cmr stated that Rs 7500 could be advanced from the funds of the Lakshminarayanaswami temple at Srirangapatam at 6¼% interest, and it is not known if Rs 3000 more can be spared This will also have to be ascertained by a reference to the Muzrai Cmr

(9) For orders, with reference to the II member

The annual Sch. costing Rs 31,800 is no doubt all right & the modified sche was adopted purposely to keep down cost

Please consult C.E., K.R.S. & the Govt Engrs (Bureau of Health)

await rep

Here have to be put up separately when reports are received

Flag W (P 7-0)

Yes, please

B

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil File No 207 of 1930 | 955

Serial No

Memo dt 18732 in paras 69270 Supra.

II Member) Arm 4/9

90) Please see margin

91) Draft approved as revised by 4/9/32

1 SEP 1932 No 1147

28 1746 dt 2-9-32 92) Issue today 2 pairs drafts Arm 2/9 Add as margin last para Arm

To the Supdg Engr, Hyde

Enquiries whether the Mil Edr share Rs 10500 3 depts mentd proposal is to be met in the Arm 5/9 first instance and how the interest at 6¼% on the amount of the loan is to be met.

Res

93) 3 depts mentd proposal

Arm 5/9 94) Issue advice

Arm 6/9

31 To the Supdg Engr 4870 dt 7-9-32 Requests him to furnish his opinion whether the Estt & Tools replacement charges can be waived

29 To the Chief Engr K R S works 1868 dt 7-9-32 Requests him to intimate whether the tubes can be given free of cost

30 To the Supdg. Engr 1869 dt 7-9-32 Enquires whether it would be possible to advance Rs 3000 more from the funds of the temple

TYPING SECTION 7 SEP 1932 12 Noon GENL & REV SECT

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Secunderabad water

Secl File No -2000 of 1930 | 11

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
9 SEP 1932

Letter no G-3-1626/30 dt 6-9-32 from the Chief Engineer stating that the net Ch' share of Rs 10,5220/- is proposed to be met from the Plant & Loan from the Mazrai dept, the repayment of this sum of Rs 1,000/- to be received annually from our Remainga, and that the payment of interest at 6¼% on the loan is proposed to be met from out of the income expected to be realised by the levy of water and pilgrim taxes

95) Filed with reference to paras 86 & 91 supra. The replies when Secy & Chief Engineer and the Mazrai Comr with may perhaps be awaited | A

96) For perusal

Sec'tary) stm 8/9

RG 10/9/32. stm 10/9 96)

A - may be remanded a week hence by when the reply is received stm 19/9

From The Sanitary Engineer Bureau of S.E.
DO No SE 293 dt 29-9-32
States that the Soft Plant and Tools charges may be waived as a special case and that the execution of the Secunderabad water supply scheme may be deferred until the mkty is in a more favourable financial position

33 Sec A 9

97) The receipt of replies from the Chief Engineer M&S wks and Mazrai Comr may be awaited till the end of this month and then A/S issued if necessary

stm 19/9

16/9/32 RG

May wait till the 25th instant stm 98)

Secunderabad water supply.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 200 of 1930 | [App F III—2

Serial No	
33	Letter no 1694/31-32 dt 4-5-32 from Engr. Comm reporting that it will be possible to advance Rs 3000 more from temple funds, so as to make up Rs 10,500, subject to the conditions stipulated in his U.O. note dt 6-7-32.
	99) The receipt of the reply from the Chief Engr, K.R.S will be awaited before the file is put up, if agreed.
	100) Please remind them.
	101) Reminder noted.
	102) Issue.
	103) Issue withheld, as the reply was received.
34 35	Letter no 1822 K.S.S dt 23-9-32 from the Chief Engr, K.R.S wanting reporting that it is not possible to give the higher rate of cost, in the cases stated.
	104) Submit for orders — vide paras 87 & 88 of the note supra. The Engr Engr's proposals re the distribution of the water supply grants may perhaps be awaited.
	105) Submit with reference to para 90 of the note supra. The DC supra has stated that the Nizam's share of the cost viz Rs 10,500 is proposed to be met from the ~~grant of the~~ loan to be taken from the Mosrai Dept, its repayment being made

TYPING SECTION 24 SEP 1932 SECUNDERABAD

This does not seem to be necessary as the Secy Engineer has proposed that this scheme might be referred, vide cl 33

C

NOTE—Contn Sheet

out of the sum of Rs 1000 to be recd annually from Mr Ramrao - The Municipal Comr is agreeable to advance Rs 10,500 from the funds of Sri Narayanaswami temple for the purpose at 6½ %

9

106 As regards interest on the loan, the D/Comr has stated that his proposal to be met out of the income expected from the levy of Water and Pilgrim taxes. Proposals in regard to the latter have been recd & are under Consideration.

C

107 The Sanitary Engineer who was consulted regarding the waiving of the Cost & Tools & Plant charges, has stated that they may be waived as this is a Special case He, however, suggests that as a number of works already under execution will deplete the Current years grant for Water Supply & Drains, the Scheme may be deferred until the Dept is in a more favorable financial position to undertake the work This gives the fact that Govt are agreeable to bear a moiety of the cost & as the rest are prepared to meet their share by taking a loan from the Municipal Dept, the scheme can only be deferred until Govt can pay their share of the cost, i.e perhaps till next year This for Conservancy if the required sum viz Rs 10,500 cannot be paid out of the Grant of Rs 40,000 for Improvements to Town & Minor Roads' under '30 Grants for Public Improvements'. This grant also has to be operated upon during the Current year by the Bureau of Sanl Engineering & the San' Engineer is being asked separately how he proposes distributing the grant.

B

108 Regarding the free supply of pipes for the work from the Hulikere Tunnel Works, the Chief Engr, K.R Sagar

Secunderabad water supply

[App F III–2 1730

General and Revenue Secretariat | Fd File No 200 of 1930. 31

Serial No

State that it will not be possible to supply the pipes free of cost, as it has been ordered that the machinery & other articles of the Works should be sold & the sale proceeds credited to Receipts – on Capital Account. The pipes proposed to be taken from the Western Works are estimated to cost Rs 5344 & if the same price has to be paid to the P.W.D (Ry Secy agrees) the estimate on account of the Water Supply Scheme will have to be raised by that amount, ~~which will have~~ to be borne by the Mun' Council & the Govt equally.

Forwarded.

Sew Secy) 29/9

Please speak

3 10/32

109) This Water Supply is, I think, urgent. Works should be taken up as already ordered by GOM the Corporation of Rs 10,000 may be given out of the Town might possibly be 40,000]

3 10/32

H: 958 32 B
4 10 32

Ref H: Secretary's note at page 18.

The two schemes to be kept pending.

Letter no. A3 1624 07 7/10/32 Invite Govt. expressing their readiness to meet some expenditure in the regular portion since connections as well as short taps

112) Under the present financial stringency it is inadvisable to take up new works. This scheme can well afford to wait for some time.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

113) The Commitments against the grant

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-8 31

Refer to mem. when file, obtain further Fin Rep.

111) May be sent back to the Fin Secy

20/10

Srinagar

Judl File No W 1(7)30 of 1930 | General & Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE 2514 31 OCT. 1932

of 40,000 Rs for Town Improvements and whether there is a sufficient balance in it to meet the Govt share have to be ascertained.

114) ~~The~~ The Municipality does not appear to be in a satisfactory financial condition and will not be able to meet the maintenance charges and pay interest at 6¼% on the loan it has to borrow. When it is finding difficult to collect even the present house taxes, it will find it more difficult to collect the Enhanced tax on which it counts to meet the maintenance charges and the interest

115) I agree with the Secy K.R.S. Works that the value of the pipes ~~stores~~ has to be ~~borne~~ by the Power A credited to the Irrigation Canal Works and that they ~~should not~~ cannot be given free. H. 1958

26/10

116) A D.C may be informed accordingly & ~~it~~ this that the scheme may be ~~the~~ examined by the Executive Engineer of the Municipality

29/10/32

Secy

29/10/32

Dewan

118 31-10-32

11/32

Seringapatam water supply

730 [App F III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 200 of 1930

Serial No

Note sheet for appl
an 8/11 Issue Asst 3/11

36 To the Dy. Engr. of
3120 Dy 8-11-32
Kindly note that the scheme has to be
sent to the Finance Dept. suitably

The file may be sent to the P. W. Secy
for please cutting back all papers on the subject
received with that Secy's note Dy 15/11/31
and obtaining clearance re-transmission

an 14/11. Yes Asst 14/11

P. W. Secy's note erased 45
an 15/11. 27/11/32

No. 248
Dy 22 11 32

GENERAL SECRETARY 24 NOV 32 No 545

No 248
Dy 23.11.32

Returned with compliments, ~~[illegible]~~, after retaining this office file of correspondence

P. Ramachandra Rao
AN 23/11/32 for Secretary
27/11 pm
28.11.32

NOTE—Contn Sheet

an 25/11

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-8 31

File No

4209
L 1

Submitted.

B

In G.O.No.L.3994-4003/ M1.237-30-5 dated 25/30th November 1931, Government have sanctioned a sum of Rs.22,000/- to the Kolar Town Water Supply Scheme. The Chief Engineer has separately intimated that out of the grant of Rs.22,000/- a sum of Rs.8,000/- only has been utilised towards the scheme in the current year and has surrendered the balance of Rs.14,000/-. He has also requested that the amount surrendered will have to be allotted during the next year. As this allotment has to be made, there will be only a sum of Rs.11,000/- available out of the next year's grant since only a sum of Rs.25,000/- is provided in the next year's budget for water supply to Towns Out of this sum of Rs.11,000/- commitments to the extent of Rs.8,500/- have already been made in respect of water supply to Tiptur and a bore-well in Pavagada. Thus the amount available for grants for new Water Supply Schemes is only Rs.2,500/-. If a sum of Rs.6,600/- has to be allotted for the --- Seringapatam Water Supply Scheme an additional grant of Rs.4,100/- will have to be applied for.

The connected files have been sent to Sri P.G. in connection with the receipt of the amount surrendered by this Secretary

GENERAL SECRETARY
30 JUN 1
No.

In view of the above the Secretary's note of today Sir the file bears requires change

4/7/32 HJ The Asst Commissioner Revenue Department (Kolar T.S. Office) (Asst Secy) 4/7/32

balance sheet
is urgent

RJ

7/3

I am Sir, your obedient servant,

[App F III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193 | 1730

Serial No

Enquired in the Fin. Branch & was stated that the file was sent to the Dewan's Office (at Nyme Camp) and that it has not yet been received back

Jm 18/10.

Supt Dewans Office with compliments for kindly putting up the file again at as possible

18/10/32

1-30-31

The file was returned at Nyme Camp to Fin. Br. on 11 10 32

18 10 32.

Supt H L S Q with Compts

Supt Fd Branch with compliments for kindly putting up the file as possible

The connected file is returned herewith

20/10/32

20-10

Grapad,

This will be linked with the main file (No 20-30) and the main file sent to Fin. Branch

Jm 20/10

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No

(8) M 28-5

ಮೈಸೂರುಪರಿಷ್ಕಾರದ ಮಿಡಲ್ ಬಾರ್ನ್ ದಿವ್ಯಾಸ್ಪಾಂಜೆ
ಎಂಬ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು (ಶ್ರೀಶಂಕರಪ್ಪನವರ ಪ್ರಕಟನೆಯಾಗಿ ಸಿಲಬಸ್ಸಿಗೆ

REV. 1749 SEC OF MYSORE 27.9.30
ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಪಾಠವಾಗಿ, 6 ಪ್ರ
1 OCT 19..
L S G BRANCH

1.10.30 EN ಶ್ರದ್ಧೆಯಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀಶಂಕರಪ್ಪನವರು ಪ್ರಕಾ
ಸಿಸಿ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುವ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವಂತೆ
3/11/30 ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿರುವುದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿತು. ಆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧ
ವಾಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರೆ
ಅದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಪಾಪುಸ್ತಾಪ್ಯ ಶುಕ್ರಶ್ರೋತ್ಪರ್ಯವು ಹಾಗಾಗಿರುವ
ಮುಕ್ಕಡಿಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಒಡೆಯರವರಜನ್ಮಶತಾಬ್ದ
ಮುಂ ಬಳಿಕ ಜೀವನದಭರುಂಭದಿನ "ಶ್ರೀಶಂಕರಿಶ್ರೀ
ಗಾಳ ಶಿಲಾಕಾಣವೆಂಬುಂ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಿಂದಲು ಕೆಲ್ಲಿ
ತೆರದುಮನಡೆಯುವಂತೆಂಬುಂ". ಪ್ರಭುಂರು ಶ್ರೀಪಾದವನ್ನು
ಎತ್ತಿರು ಆಶಯಿಂದ ಜೀವನಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಂಪಂಸಗಳಿಂದ ಮಳೆ ಬಂಸು
ದಿನೇ ದಿನೆ ಜೀವನಸ್ಥಿತಿಗೆ ಬಂದುಮಾಡಾಗುತ್ತಿರಲು ಅವ
ಕಾಶಲುಂಟಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ

ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣ ತೆಳಲು ಅವಳ್ಳಿ ತೆರೆಯಲ್ಪಡಿಂದಿನ
ಕಾಶಂಜ ತರುಣ್ಯಳಿಕ್ಕುನೀಡಿದಲಿಶ್ರೀಯರು ಬಂದಭಾಗ
ಕಾರು ಭಾಗಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಿರುಬಂದು ಭವ್ಯಕ್ಕಿಂದುಂಗಿಜನಗಳ
ಗೆಲುಂಬುಂ ಅನುರೂಪವುಂಟಾಗಿದ್ದು ಶ್ರೀಶಂಕರಿಶ್ರೀಗಳಿಗೆ
ಕಾಶಂಜಗಳುಂಡಕ್ಕುವಿಧಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತರುಣ್ಯಪ್ರಭೆ ಬೇಂದಿದ್ದಿ
ನದ ಹೊಳಪಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಶಂಜನೀರು ಅ
ದರಿಂದೀಗ ಬೇಸಿಗೆಯಿದ್ದಿನದ ಹೊಳಪದರಗಿಸಿಬಂದು
ತುಂಬಕ್ಕೆಂದಿದುಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದೆ ಕಾಶಂಜನೀ
ರುಹರಿಯುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದಲಿಯೋಳಗೆ ಕೆಂಪುಲುಂಟಾ
ಗಿಕಾಗಿರಾಶಾಭಾಗಿಗಳಜಲಾಂತರ್ಭುಲಕ್ಕೆ ರೂಲಾಗಿಸಿಲ
ಶ್ರೀಯರುಂಟಾಗಲುಂತಹದಂತೆ ಜನಗಳನೀಗಿದಕೊಂಡಾಗಿ
ಪಡಿಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲವೆಂತೆರೂ ತಿರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲಿ 6-7 ಶಿಂಚಳಿನಿಂದ
ಮೀಲ್ಪ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳು ಕಾಶಂಜಿಯನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀಂಕಾರಮಾಡಿಬಿಟ್ಟು
ಶ್ರೀಂಕಾಶಂಜಿನಗಳಿಂಗುನೀರುಬಾರದಂತೆಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ
ಎವರಿಂದವಲ್ಲಾಂಗಿಗಳಲ್ಲಾಂಜಲಬಿದ್ದುಪಿಂಗಿಂಡಿಗಿನೀರಿಲ್ಲದೆ
ಜನಗಳು ಕುಂಬಾ ಕೊಂಡಶಿಶಿರು ತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಶಂಜಿಗಳ
ಶ್ರೀಂನಿಂಗಿಗಳು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಾಂತುಸಲಹೆಯಿಂದ
ಶೀಂಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದಾ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛಯಾಗಲಾನುಬೇಲ ತೆಂಬುಸ್ಥಾಪಿಂಚಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ

PTO



(Extn)

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 15/5/1931

No L. 9080

Ex. Estimates

From

R RANGA RAO, Esq, B A, B L,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District, MYSORE.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith two schemes with estimates for water supply to Seringapatam and Ganjam costing Rs.38,300/— and Rs. 40,190/- and involving annual maintenance charges of Rs. 1,646/- and Rs. 1,346/- respectively, I am directed to - request you to ascertain the views of the Municipal Council on the schemes and to report how they propose to meet the -- initial and recurring charges of the schemes and submit a report to Government at a very early date.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subrahmanyasastri

FOR GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

E.W.M/2.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 1.5.7.21.

Signed by M.V. V.S. Stalin.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No D No 5130.

Serial No D. 2718/31

No L.9080

estimates

To The Deputy Comr Mysore District

Sir,

In forwarding herewith two schemes with estimates for water Supply to Seringapatam & Ganjam Costing Rs 38300 & Rs 40190 respectively and involving annual maintenance Charges of Rs 1646 & 1246 respectively, I am directed to request you to ascertain

[over

WD 02159—GPB—25 000—25-6-30

the views of the Nat.
Council on the Schemes
and to report how they
propose to meet the
initial ~~Cost~~ and recurring
Charges of the Schemes
and submit a report
to Govt at an very early
date.

Shaw

V.B. 30/4/31

D. for Gen. Secy to Govt
30/4/31.

11/5

GENERAL SECRETARY.
24 AUG 1931
No 102

GEN & REV. SECTT.
1042
25 AUG 1931
L. S. G. BRANCH.

(4)

(5)

No D3. 1621/30
Encl One file.

776

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore, 22nd August 1931

Ml 200-30-4

GEN & REV SECTT. 1060 26 AUG 1931

FROM S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,
~~K.V. ANANTHARAMAN, Esq., B.A.~~,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

GENERAL SECRETARY 25 AUG 1931 No Todc

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, *Bangalore*

85130

SIR, Water supply to Seringapatam and Ganjam.

With reference to your letter No.L. 9080 dated 15th May 193 forwarding two Schemes with estimates for water supply to Seringapatam and Ganjam costing Rs 38,300/- and Rs 40,190/- and involving annu maintenance charges of Rs 1,646/- and Rs 1,346/- respectively and requesting to ascertain and intimate the views of the Municipal Counc on the schemes and how they propose to meet the initial and recurring charges, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No 1231/30-31 dated 24th June 1931 from the Municipal President furnishi the views of the Council, and to state as follows:-

2. The Municipal Council have resolved that as the present financial circumstances of the Municipality do not admit of any portion of the initial outlay being met out of their funds Government be requested as a special case to limit the contribution to 25% instead of 50% of the non-recurring charges and further to make available this amount as a loan carrying 4% interest from the invested funds of the Nowl Krishnadas Charities and Pete Narayanaswamy temple subject to repayment in 20 equal annual instalments. If this request is conceded, the Municipal Coutncil will have to make available Rs 4800/- per year as per details noted in the margin.

Total Rs. 4800/-
300/- in round figures towards recurring charges
- - - -

of Rs 800/- is proposed to be utilised towards additional cha. on account of electric lighting.

4. Some of the Municipal Councillors are of the opinion that if it is not possible to take up the scheme as a whole, the Scheme for Seringapatam proper may be taken up first; but the President, after local enquiry, is of the opinion that water supply to Ganjam is equally urgent and desirable.

5. In this connection the Municipal Council feel that if the Municipality be at least given a fair share of the realisations from the Provincial Toll-gates within their jurisdiction, it would afford much relief to the Municipality in meeting their financial burdens and commitments. The sanction accorded to the proposal of the Municipal Council to levy toll was subsequently cancelled by Government.

6. I agree with the President and request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter of taking up the Scheme either entirely or in part.

7. In this connection I beg to invite kind reference to my letter No.D3. 1624-30 dated 23rd January 1931 explaining the necessity of a good water supply to Seringapatam in view of its historial importance and interest and the frequent visits of important and high personages to the place.

8. The extract from the resolution of the Municipal Council and the estimates received with your letter under advertence accompany.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

George Matha

For DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District.
(Absent from Headquarters).

NRS

Letter No.1231/30-31 dated 24th June 1931 from the President, Town Municipal Council, Seringapatam, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

With reference to your Memo No.D3. 1624/30 dated 23rd May 1931 forwarding two estimates for water supply to Seringapatam and Ganjam respectively and directing me to ascertain the views of the Municipal Council on the schemes and submit a report as to how the Municipal Council propose to meet the initial and recurring charges, I have the honour to state that the matter was considered at a special meeting of the Municipality held on the 31st May 1931 and an extract of the resolution passed is enclosed for information.

According to the estimates furnished by the Executive Engineer, Sanitary and Town Planning Division for Seringapatam and Ganjam separately, the initial cost in round figures is Rs 38,300/- and 40,200/- and the recurring charges Rs 1,650/- and Rs 1,350/- respectively. The Standing Orders require that the local Body should contribute half the initial cost, the other half being borne by Government. On this basis the Municipality will have to contribute a sum of Rs 39,250/-. As the finances of the Municipality are not in a prosperous conditon, for reasons which the Government are fully aware, it is not possible for them to contribute any portion of the non-recurring charges. In fact, even the prescribed balance of one-fourth the normal income which is required to be kept in deposit as a reserve at the beginning of each year is not being done. The Municipal Council have therefore resolved that as the present financial circumstances of the Municipality do not admit of any portion of the initial outlay being met, Government be requested as a special case to limit the contribution to 25% of the nonrecurring charges and to make available this amount as a loan carrying 4% interest from the invested funds of the Nowl Krishnadas Charities and Pate Narayanaswamy temple at Seringapatam subject to the condition that the loan is repayable in 20 equal annual instalments. From the information available in the Taluk Office Rs 20,000/- out of the above Charities is invested in Mysore State Loan Bonds of 1940 at 6¼% interest and Rs 8,000/- out of Pate Narayanaswamy temple funds in Government of India Loan Bonds of 1865 at 3½% interest. As the funds of the charities are invested in bonds carrying 6¼% interest, there will be a loss to the extent of 2¼% if the amount should be

should be made available to the Municipality at 4%. A sum of Rs 3,100/- and Rs 3,000/- out of the funds of Sri Ranganathaswamy and Sri Lakshminarasimhaswamy temples respectively, has been invested in Government of India loan of 1865, carrying 3½ interest and if these amounts could be spared, only a sum of Rs 6,000/- will have to be utilised out of the invested funds of Nowl Krishnadas Charities.

I am not sure whether the above funds could be readily made available as they are invested in Loan Bonds. Even if the concession asked for is granted, the Municipality will have to provide a sum of Rs 1,800/- per annum - Rs 1,000/- for payment towards principal and Rs 800/- for interest. The interest charges will however decrease by Rs 40/- every year as the instalments towards principal are paid. In addition to this, the recurring charges amounting to Rs 3,000/- in round figures will also have to be met. In all, ways and means have to be found for making available a sum of Rs 4,800/- per year.

The Municipal Council propose to raise this amount by revising the mohatarfa tax so as to secure double the present income under this head and levying a water tax at a flat rate of 50% thereon. The mohatarfa tax has not been revised for the last 20 years and according to the present assessment, the demand under buildings on which only water tax could be levied, is only Rs 2,800/-. If the existing rates are doubled and a water tax at a flat rate of 50% is levied thereon, an additional income of Rs 5,600/- will be realised. The charges on account of the water supply scheme viz., Rs 4,800/- is proposed to be met out of this amount, the balance being utilised for meeting the additional charges on account of electric lighting.

It will be clear from the above that for every rupee of the present assessment on building, the rate will have to be raised to Rs 3/-. As it is, the mohatarfa arrears outstanding recovery is considerable and much difficulty is felt in collecting the amount, either because the whereabouts of the khatedars are not known or the parties are too poor to pay. In such circumstances, I personally

feel

feel that a sudden increase of mohatarfa tax by 200% is likely to cause much hardship and be resented by the people, especially those who are poor. But if the benefits of a good water supply, which is very keenly felt and the absence of which is urged to be the main contributing factor for the unhealthiness of the locality and its gradual depletion, are to be secured, the public should be prepared to undergo some sacrifice and meet the increased cost.

Some of the Municipal Councillors were of opinion that if for any reason it is not possible to take up the scheme as whole, Government be requested to make a beginning by sanctioning the estimate for Seringapatam Division proper, being the cheaper of the two estimates. Seringapatam Division is no doubt very compact and more lively and not subject to the same degree of decay, but the water difficulty is acutely felt in both the places. It was represented to me by the residents of Ganjam on more than one occasion that the water difficulty was the main cause of depletion of the locality and that the people who had migrated to Mysore and other plaaes will readily return if proper water facilities are given. The few wells that are at Ganjam have water at such great depth that the exertion in drawing a few potfuls of water is beyond the capacity of the woemen.folk. As Ganjam is a part of the Seringapatam Municipality it would lead to unhealthy controversy among the people of the two divisions, similar to what happened in regards to electric lighting, if only Seringapatam proper is given the benefit of water supply in the first instance. If possible, it is desirable to work both the estimates together. As observed by me previously it will not be fair within the same Municipality to give water supply to one division while denying the benefit to the other. If, however, for strong financial reasons, it is found not practicable to sanction the scheme as a whole, then the estimate for Seringapatam proper may be sanctioned an' taken up in the first instance.

I may bring to your kind notice in this connection that the Municipal Council feel that they have not been permitted to exercise their legitamate right to levy a toll within their borders and thus augment their income, for the reason that such a course will lead to hardship in view of the existence of the Provincial Toll gates nearby

at

at Wellesly Bridge and Paschimavahini. They are, if they cannot be allowed to have their own toll gates their borders, they should at least be given a fair share of realisations from the Provincial Toll gates within their jurisdiction. If their claim is conceded, any grants made would go a considerable way in affording relief to the Municipality in meeting their financial burdens and commitments.

All the records accompany.

"Copy"

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

NRS

OFFICE OF THE DIWAN OF MYSORE
Date of Issue 25-8-31
Com. Regn. No. 372

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
25 AUG 1931
No.

GEN 26 AUG 1931
L. S. G BRANCH

GEN 26 AUG 1931
L. S. G. BRANCH

(4)

(5)

No 401
Enc 1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 1st/2nd Sept 1931

PH 20030—6

The petition, of which particulars are given below to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal.

GENERAL SECRETARY'S OFFICE
To
3 SEP 1931
No 121

GENERAL & REV. SECTT.
No 1122
3 SEPT 1931
L. B. G. BRANCH.

Dno 1060

Secretary to the Government
of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

By Order,

for Private Secretary
to H H the Maharaja of Mysore

No 44/1 of 1931-32.

Messrs Papanna, K. Ramamurthi and other residents of Srirangapatnam town

Pray for the providing of water supply to the town of Srirangapatnam

The main file (D 1060) relating to Water Supply of Srirangapatnam town has been submitted to General Secretary on 5/6 Sept-31. If approved, this will be referred in that file. Submitted for orders.

7.9.31

Yes

7.9.31

GENERAL SECRETARY
3 SEP 1931
No.

Plaintiff's Exhibits
25-8-41

8th Sept. 1931.

~~381~~
B 29-30

The

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

I am directed to ~~request~~ request you to be so good as to intimate, very early, the cash and securities balance at the credit of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities Fund and of the Sri Pete Narayanaswami temple at Seringapatam. Information may also be furnished about the income and expenditure of these institutions annually

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Subrahmanyasastri
for Financial Secretary to Government.

C.R.G.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 259...

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Imm)
the 3 *193*

Date of issue 8:Gar31

Signed by .?m V S

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by.

Section Imagpan

File No

Serial No

No 381

To

The Comptroller
to Govt, Bengalm

Sir,

I am directed
to request you
to be so good
~~as to state~~ to
as intimate,
with early, the
cash and securi-
ties balance
at the credit
of the Board

[over

Krishna Dass Chetty
Fund and of the Sri
Pete Narayanaswamy
Temple at Seringapatam
Mysore

1.2.31
Geo. D. Craig to Govt

C.B.

7/9/31

Information
may also be forwarded
about the income
and expenditure
of these institutions
annually

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No ~~B.II. 140~~.

Bangalore, dated 21st Septr. *1931.*

From

S SHAMANNA, Esq., BA
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore



To

Rajasevaparayana
B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Financial Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No.Muz.381 dated 8th September 1931, I have the honour to furnish below the particulars required regarding the cash and securities balance at the credit of the Nowl Krishna Doss Charities Fund and of the Sri Pete Narayanaswami temple at Seringapatam as also of the income and expenditure of these institutions annually.

Name of the Institutions	Balance of Securities	Period 1930-31	Receipts	Payments	Closing Balance on 30-6-31.
1.Nowl Krishna Dass Charities	6¼% Loan of 1940- 10000	1930-31	1326-7-0	319-8-0	3786-9-10
		1929-30	(A)11636-13-8	22062-0-0(B)	
		1928-29	1624-14-0	469-0-0	
		1927-28	1624-14-0	534-13-9	
2.Pete Narayana-Swamy	5% Loan of 1955 — 2000	1930-31	1214-0-0	1565-10-5	10324-10-3
	6¼% Loan of 1940 — 16000	1929-30	3029-1-0	1253- 1-2	
		1928-29	2894-2-9	6282- 3-8(C)	
	3½% Govt. of India loan of 1865 8000	1927-28	2962-4-2	1903- 9-10(D)	

A. Includes Rs.9583-7-0 being realisation from sale of 6¼% Loan Bonds.

B. Includes Rs.21,576 towards construction of Ayurvedic College at Mysore.

C. Includes Rs.4850 towards cost of fitting Electric Lights.

D. Includes Rs.600 towards repairs.



I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Comptroller.

22/9

MH 200-30-12

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

BUREAU OF SANITARY ENGINEERING

RVE MB ChB, DPH,
Director of Health

H F MARKER, ESQ, LCE MR San I
Executive Sanitary Engineer

J J MIELDAZIS BScCE,
Pocl efeller Foundation
Sanitary Engineer

D O No ~~S.E.~~ 80

Encl

"GRACE×VILLE"××PALACE×ROAD,

Bangalore, (S India), dated 10th December 193 1

THE GENERAL SECRETARY.
11 DEC 1931
No

2568
12 DEC 1931
L. S. G. BRANCH.

RE -SERINGAPATAM WATER SUPPLY

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.L 4125/M1. dated 6/7-12-1931, I wish to state that the matter was subsequently discussed by me with the Dewan and I had informed him that it is not possible to use the Railway Station plant for the City supply also, not only on account of the insufficient size of the plant but also on account of the very long length of rising main required from this point to the elevated reservoir - the level at the Station platform being so low as to require as much as 80' staging for the elevated reservoir - thus making the scheme very costly from all points of view.

The only other alternative was a ram scheme from Sargaradoddi channel as originally proposed but utilizing the old galvanised iron pipes &c , from the Hulikere Tunnel either at some nominal price or free of cost I have this estimate ready with me but I am awaiting a reply from the Chief Engineer, Krishnaraja Sagara Works, to see if the above channel will have water running althroughout the year, if not we will have to impound a certain quantity of water for as many days as the channel is not running with water As soon as I get this

to Dewan (D.O. 5703 and has not been received back its receipt will if approved, be awaited. 7/5

Please put the file if it can be spared awaiting 9/5

11/5 The file has since been obtained and 12/5

RLe 200-30-23 4709

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

BUREAU OF SANITARY ENGINEERING

KARVE MB, ChB DPH,
Director of Health

H F MARKER, ESQ, LCE MR San I
Executive Sanitary Engineer

J J MIELDAZIS BScCE,
Rockefeller Foundation
Sanitary Engineer

D O No S E 1261

Encl one

"GRACE VILLE" PALACE ROAD,

Bangalore, (S India), dated 30th May 1932

GENERAL BRANCH 1 JUN 1932 No

Dear Sir,

As desired by the Dewan, I am sending you a modified scheme for Water Supply to Seringapatam without any distribution, but with only a few taps round about the Service Reservoir to which people will go and take water, for your kindly taking further action in the matter

Urgent

The modified scheme costs Rs.13,205/-. The original larger scheme was costing Rs.31,180/-. In the original estimate a masonry reservoir of 20000 gallons was provided. In this estimate in order to keep down the cost I have provided only a 10000 gallons reservoir. The actual cost of the work portio comes to Rs.10,770/-, but adding contingencies and establishment and tools and plant charges, the amount comes to Rs.13,205/-.

The plans, estimates and report of the original scheme are already with you The same holds good for this modified scheme also.

Yours sincerely,

1 JUNE 1932 P.W.D. BRANCH

R.RANGA RAO,Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Ack 30/5/32

P W Genl 80 1 Division

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Preliminary Abstract estimate for Water Supply to Serungapatam Town Using Hulikere pipes (Hydraulic Ram Scheme)

No	Items of work	Unit	Rate Rs	a	p	Quantity	Cost
1	Hydraulic Ram, including erection						2,500
2	Constructing Feed and Ram and laying and jointing the feed and drive pipes						1,000
3	Laying and jointing the 3" G I Rising main						3,150
4	Constructing one compartment of Service Reservoir of 10,000 gallons with pipe fittings						1,500
5	Giving 1½" G I and 1" G I pipe lines and few taps near the Service Reservoir to the locality as shown on plan		2	8			500
6	Constructing a sluice, a regulator, Relieving weir for draw off from the Channel as per estimate from Lower Channel Division						
	Carried over						8650

Brought Forward ..	Unit	Ra
Incision and unforeseen charges	L S	
Total		
Contingencies at 5 %		
18 % Establishment + Tools & Plant charges		
Grand Total Rupees		

Executive Sanitary Engineer,
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering,
Bangalore.

WD 0934—GPB—11,500—17-12-29

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 200 - 30

Serial No 26

Diary No of letter replied to

No 615 mc2 dt 22-7-32

To The Asst. Exec. Engineer,

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. G3 6241/30 dt 22-8-31 requesting that the contribution from the Seringapatam rd cl towards the town water supply may be limited to 25% of the initial cost and that this amount may be made available as a loan carrying 4% interest from the invested funds of the Srirangam Venkatadasa Chantries and Pedda Narayanaswami Temple, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the modified scheme of water supply for the town costing Rs 13205, prepared by the Supdg Engr, Bureau of Salary Engineers, and to state

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 22/7/32

Signed by Mr. A.S Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Attached copy of this letter no 12618 dt 30-5-32 approving the Scheme

AVD 71—GBEM—40 000—20 7 31

that fund will be prepared to Govt
contribution of not exceeding half this amount and that the
rule will frame to meet the other half
the mayor, who was consulted in the matter,
has stated that the amount required towards the
share of the rule may be advanced from
the funds of the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple,
provided they undertake to pay interest at 6¼ %,
as the amount has to be realised from the sale
of the 6¼ % state loan bonds at the credit of the
institution

2 Before proceeding further in the matter
~~accepting the~~ ~~to this proposal~~,
Govt would like to be satisfied as to the
arrangements the rule will make to meet the
interest and sinking fund charges of the loan and
the annual maintenance charges, and I am to request
you to go into the question with the rule
and submit a report to Govt at a very early date.

I have etc
RS

Mg 21/7
Forbes. Seen Govt
Jm
RS 21/7

Ml 200-30-27

No D3.1624/30.
Encl 5 papers.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore, 27th August *193*2

REV. SECTT. GEN 955 30 AUG 32 L.S.G. BRANCH

FROM

S ABDUL WAJID, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

GENERAL SECRETARY 30 AUG 32 No. L 2 5

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
General
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

WATER SUPPLY SCHEME OF SERINGAPATAM.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.L.615/Ml.200-30-26 dated 22nd July 1932 re the above, I have the honor to state as follows.-

The Municipal Council is not in favour of the modified scheme costing Rs.13,205/- referred to in your letter under advertance. The scheme proposed by the Executive Sanitary Engineer in his letter to the Chief Engineer No.82 dated 25/26th January 1932 with the old pipes supplied free from Hulikere costing nearly Rs.21,275/- (the establishment, tools and plant charges being waived), is acceptable to the Municipal Council.

Towards this amount of Rs.21,000/- (in round figures) Mr. H. Ramaiya, No.3 Seethavilas Agrahar, Mysore, has offered to pay half the amount in annual instalments of Rs.1000/- provided Government are pleased to bear the other half. For this purpose he has produced the marginally noted Mysore Government Loan Bonds of 5 per cent 1955 of the total value of Rs.40,000/-. These bonds yield an interest of Rs.2000/-

per

Margin
No.F.002699
F 002698
F 002697
F 002696
F 002695
5 of Rs.1000 each.
No.G 000444
G 000445
G 000446
G 000447
G 000448
G 000449
G 000450
7 of Rs.5000 each.
Total 12 of Rs.40,000/-

per annum, out of which the donor proposes to keep Rs.1000/- for him and to pay the remaining Rs.1000/- towards moety of the cost of the Scheme every year till Rs.10,500/- are realised. A copy of his statement given before me is enclosed for favour of perusal. These bonds have been kept in safe custody in the Mysore District Treasury pending orders of Government.

The Municipal Council propose to meet the recurring cost by levy of a water cess on Mohatarfa taxes at the rate of 25% of that tax. The normal demand on Mohatarfa Taxes is about Rs.3000/-. The Municipal Council may therefore expect an income of Rs.750/- from the levy of water cess.

In order to augment the resources of the Municipality the Municipal Council propose to levy "Pilgrim Tax" of 6 pies per head on all those that visit Seringapatam, Paschimavahini or Ganjam which are included in the Municipal limits. A sum of about Rs.6000/- is expected to be realised under this head. Proposals have been submitted to Government separately in respect of the levy of this tax.

Read separately Today 30/9

From the above it will be seen that if both the proposals of the Municipal Council for the levy of water cess and pilgrim tax are sanctioned, the Municipality will be in a position to finance the Water Supply Scheme now proposed by the Municipal Council with the donation of Rs.1000/- per year for a period of ten or eleven years as the case may be kindly offered by Mr. H. Ramiah.

In these circumstances I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the Water Supply Scheme now recommended by the President, Seringapatam Municipal Council.

I may add here that the President, Seringapatam has been requested to take needful action under Sections 59 and 60 of the Municipal Regulation for the levy of water cess. The proposals on receipt will be submitted to Government for sanction.

The Gunjam people will have a just grievance if the water supply is not extended to them and they are made to pay the pilgrim tax.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. Vijayaraghavaiah

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

A.N.

The statement of

Mr. H. Ramiah, 3 Seetavilas Agrahar, Mysore.

---oOo---

** I produce the marginally noted bonds worth Rs.40,000/- of the Mysore Government Loan 5% of 1955. I am prepared to give Rs.1000/- every year out of the interest realised i.e., Rs.2000/- on these bonds as my contribution towards the cost of the Water Supply Scheme of Seringapatam on condition that the Government ~~makes~~ bears the other half. The bonds may be retained in Government custody and only Rs.1000/- may be given to me every year and the other Rs.1000/- credited to Government funds till the whole amount I undertake to pay is liquidated.

I understand that the proposed Scheme is estimated to cost Rs.21,000/- I am prepared to pay Rs.10,500/- towards it on the above terms.

No.F002699) F002698) F002697) F002696) F002695)	5 of Rs.1000 each.
G000444) G000445) G000446) G000447) G000448) G000449) G000450)	7 of Rs.5000 each.

Total Rs.40,000/-

19th August 1932. Sd/-

Before me

Sd/- S. Abdul Wajid,

19th August 1932. Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

"Copy"

Abdul Wajid.

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

No 153
Cr 1

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dist Mysore, after doing the needful

by affixing a copy of the letter no 82 dated
received in this office

25/24 th inst 32 A from the Executive Sanitary Engineer,

Chairman
Municipal Council
Mysore
21/8/32

IV

The Council is not in favour of the work of the scheme costing 1325, as in that case the construction pipes the difficulty will not be solved

The scheme proposed by the executive Sanitary Engineer in his letter to the Chief Engineer no 82 dated 25-28 January 1932 with the old pipes supplied free from Delhi Karol Costing nearly Rs 21000 is better & is acceptable to the municipal Council

The Council is prepared to pay 6 1/4 % for the loan to be advanced by the Punjab Government to meet them moiety of the cost of this scheme & urge that Govt will be pleased to meet the other half.

The recurring cost the

at the rate of 25%.

The Council propose

the interest charges of the

instalments of the loans by levy

a surtax of 6 pies per

on all those that visit Sarangapani

pachumavahana & Garuda which

are included in municipal limits

The Council expect to realise

about Rs 6000/- under this head

Sd S Abdul Wahid

Deputy Commissioner

9/8/32

Copy

Present

Government of Mysore

Department of Health

Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

H F. Marsden Esqr L C E M R San I

Executive Sanitary Engineer

No 82
En Sec

Bangalore dated 25/26 Jan. 1932

To,

M G Rangaraya Esq B A B E, M R San I

Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore

Through,

The Rockfeller Foundation Sanitary Engineer

Sanitary Engineering Bureau, Bangalore.

Re - Sirsang apparao Water Supply

Sir

In Continuation of this office no 238 dated 8 & 31 on the above subject have the honor to state that there was a deputation of the Municipality of that place to the person on the above subject where it was decided to reduce the cost of water supply to Sirsang apparao, Still further by utilizing the plant of the Railway Company at Sirsang apparao Railway Station & by the use of old serviceable G I pipes available from Hulikere Tunnel Works on enquiry, it is learnt that the plant at the Railway Station

Sanitary Engineer
Bangalore

of town requirements Besides the rising main
point to the highest place in the town where the
service reservoir is fixed becomes much more in length
which adds considerably to the cost So this idea is abandoned
Further reduction in the estimate can be made by Supplying
20.000 instead of 40,000 gallons for the present

Accordingly, another modified estimate
for Rs 31,180/- is prepared which is enclosed herewith
In this estimate, the old available pipes from Hulikere
Tunnel at some reduced rates fixed by them is proposed

The figure of Rupees 31,180/- can be reduced further
& the amount of the estimate brought up to Rs 20,000/- if these G I
pipes can be supplied free by the Govt & if establishment
tools & plant charges at 18% is waived by the Govt

Amount of estimate - - -	31,180/-
Deduct Cost of old G I pipes if supplied Free -	5,344/-
	25,836/-
Deduct, Establishment tools & plants charges -	4,563/-
	21,275

This is the only way in which the Municipality can
helped & the amount of the estimate reduced

The first estimate was costing Rs 38 300/-
& was sent to you in this office no 159 dated 22-11 30 —
A Comparative Statement is attached herewith for ready
reference Both the Schemes are Hydraulic Ram Schemes

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Sd H F Marker,
Executive Engineer,
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering.

No 422 Dated, Bangalore 23/26 th June 1932.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with Compliments
to the President, Town Municipal Council, Serampore
for information

Sd - - - - -
Executive Engineer
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

"Copy"
Welcome sd
President

Water supply to Seringapatam

General Abstract estimate, using Hulikere Tunnel Diversion G I piping Hydraulic Ram Scheme

No	Particulars	Unit	Rate	Qty	Cost
	Brought Forward				
1	Hydraulic Ram including erection (from quotations)				2,500
2	Constructing feed and Ram cisterns & Laying and jointing the feed and drive pipes			Est I	1,000
3	Laying and jointing 3" G I rising mains			Est 2	3,150
4	Constructing one Compartment of Service Reservoir of 20,000 gallons Capacity with pipe fittings			L S	3,000
5	Laying and jointing the town distribution			Est 3.	7,700
6	pressure jewel filters 1 unit with erection			L S	6000
7	Filter shed			L S	1000
8	Constructing a sluice and bridge across [illegible] Unforeseen charges [illegible]			L S	1000
	Total				25,350
	Contingencies at 5%				1267
	Establishment, Tools and Plant charges 18%				4563
	Grand total				31180
	Deductions.- Cost of pipes from Hulikere Tunnel Diversion.- Rising main 3" G I. pipes		Rs	1985	
	Distribution - 3" - Do		Rs	1077	
	2" - Do		"	645	
	1½" - Do		"	1433	
	1" - Do		"	124	
	¾" - Do		"	80	
	Total Rs			5344	5344
	Establishment, Tools, and plant charges 18%				4563
	Total deductions				9907
	Balance Estimate amount Rs				21,273

Carried over

Dated - 22/1/32

A R Rao

Asst Engineer

Executive Sanitary Engineer

Sanitary Bureau Bangalore

Carried over

P W. Genl 807

Division

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Detail estimate for Construction of Feed & Ram cistern & Laying out ... for Feed and drive pipes for Water Supply to ... Secunderabad

Item No	Description of work	No	Measurement L	B	D	Contents	Total
	Earthwork excavating in gravel moorum —						
	Stone Revetment in front —	1	15	10	1½	225	
	Feed cistern —	1	8	8	4	256	
	Ram cistern —	1	7½	10	(6¾+1½)/2	30 619	
	Feed pipes —	1	15	3	(5½+1½)/2	158	
	Drive pipe —	1	67½	3	(7+5½)/2	1266	
	Extra for front wall —	1	10	3	4½	135	
						2349	
	Deduct in Coff					2669	
	Total	Cyds			0.87	98 Cyds	5 4
2	Extra masts of Stone fully concrete at foundation —						
	Feed cistern —	1	8	8	1½	96	
	Ram cistern —	1	10	8	2	160	
	— Do — front wall —	1	10	3	1	30	
	Total in —	Coff	—	—		286 Coff	5 —
3	Burnt Brick in Extra masts —						
	Feed cistern	1	22	1½	5½	181	
	Ram cistern	1	30	1½	6	270	
	Front wall extra	1	9	1½	1	14	
	— do — foundation	1	9	1 7/8	3	51	
	Total —					516	
	Deduction —						
	In overflow pipe in Feed cistern	1	3	1½	2	9	
	Doubly in Ram cistern	1	3	1½	2	9	
						18	

Carried over C/O

No	Brought Forward	No	L	B	D	Contents
	Balance Burnt bricks in S. mortar in Cft	—	—	—	—	498 Cft
4	Burnt Stone, slabs 6" thick / lime dressed					
	Set in Surkhi mortar —					
	Coursing Slabs one foot exterior	1	7	7	—	49
	— do — Ram exterior	1	9	9	—	81
	Steps for setting in	13	2½	1½	—	49
	For overflow pipes	1	3	1½	—	4
	Counterfort Ram exterior	1	5	1½	—	7
	Total —	—	—	—	—	190
	Deduct for hole pipes	2	2½	2½	—	13
	Balance Stone Slabs in Cft	—	—			177 Cft
5	Cement plastering inside 1½" thick —					
	Foot exterior	1	16	—	5½	88
	— do — back	1	4	4	1	16
	Ram exterior	1	24	—	6	144
	— do — back	1	6	6	—	36
	Total plastering in Sqft	—	—	—	—	284 Sqft
6	Surkhi Plastering outside exposed portions —					
	Foot exterior	1	28	—	3	84
	Ram exterior	1	36	—	5/3	72
	Total plastering in Sqft	—	—	—	—	156 Sqft
7	Materials for Foot & drain pipes —					
	9" Stone pipes	1	81	—	—	81 Cft
	6 — do —	1	18	—	—	18 Cft
	6" Stone valve with 2 pieces	1	—	—	—	1 Each
	7 — do — do —	1	—	—	—	1 do

Carried over

WD 0932—GPB—14,500—11-2 30

Total Coins, 1½

P W Genl 801

(2)

Division

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Detail estimate for Constructing Feed & Bam cistern &c For Water Supply to Ellangowan Camp

Item No	Description of work	No	Measurement L	B	D	Contents		Rate Total		Cost
	B/f.									787 791
	2'-2½" x 2'-2½" C.S. framed w.s. flap shutters with locking arrangements complete	2	-	-	-	2	Each	25	- -	50
	C.I. Bond boxes for 3 lines valves	2	-	-	-	2	Do	5	- -	10
	Total Cost for materials							Rs		847 851
	Labour charges:-									
	9" Hume pipes joints	12	-	-	-	12	Each	3	- -	36
	6" - Do -	6	-	-	-	6	Do	2	- -	12
	Keying-cistern frame with bolts & nuts complete	2	-	-	-	2	Do	2	8 -	5
	Constructing stone apron in front of Bam cistern	1	15	10	1½	225	Sft			
			or			8	Cyd	2	8 -	20
9	Clearing ground & forming	L S	-	-	-	-		Rs		15
10	Wall bore & reducing in the aqueduct	1	-	-	-	1	Each	2	- -	2
11	Conveyance of pipes & Executive & unforeseen items	L S	-	-	-	-	-	Rs		63 59
	Total for Labour		-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	153 149
	Grand total	Rupees								1000

Executive Sanitary Engineer

26-1-32

Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

Carried over

No

Water Supply to Seringapatam — Estimate 2

Revised estimate of Delivery Main using 3" G I pipes from Halekere to Seringapatam (+ 6000 Gls Supply) Hydraulic Ram System —

No	Particulars	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Cost
	Brought Forward				
	Materials				
1	3" G I piping	Rft	- 10	3175	1985 -
2	3" G.I. Reflux valve	Each	50 -	1	50 -
3	3" G M Gland Cock with checknut	"	20 -	1	20
4	V-alve cistern frame & cover 2½' × 2½'	"	25 -	1	25 -
5	G I Road box 9" × 12"	"	5 -	1	5 -
		Total			2085 -
	Labour Charges				
6	Earthwork, Conveyance, laying & jointing G I 3" main, cutting & threading	Rft	- 4	3175	794 -
7	Constructing valve cisterns	Each	35 -	1	35 -
8	— do — G C cistern	"	2 8 -	1	3
9	Fencing valve cistern frame	"	2 8 -	1	3
10	Conveyance of pipes & materials	L. S			100
11	Pipe line supports	L. S			50
12	Unforeseen items	L S			80
		Total cost of Labour charges			1065 -
		Grand total Rs			3150 —

GR 5/3

Bangalore
2/10-1231.

Executive Sanitary Engineer,
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

Carried over

Water Supply to Seringapatam

Estimate of cost of Distribution by using C.I. pipes from Hulikere (Supply 40,000 gallons a day) Revised in the light of Hulikere rates

No	Particulars	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Cost
	Brought Forward				
	Materials				
	4" C I pipes turned & bored with 10% broad Socketted				
	$\frac{805+247}{12} = \frac{1052}{12} = 88$ pipes at 2 cents	cost	8 - -	176	1408 -
2	3" C.I. pipes	Rft.	- 10 -	1435	897
3	2" C.I pipes	"	- 8 -	1290	645
4	1½" do	"	- 5 -	4585	1433
5	1" do	"	- 3 3	605	123
6	¾" do	"	- 2 6	5 10	80
7	3" C.I. Sluice cock with chaplet	Each	20 - -	1	20
8	2" do	"	15 - -	2	30
9	1½" do	"	12 - -	7	84
10	1" do	"	7 8 -	1	8
11	¾" do	"	5 - -	17	85
12	C I Road boxes for cisterns	"	3 -	28	84
13	4" C I ¼ bends	"	12 - -	2	24
14	3" C I bends	"	2 -	1	2
15	2" do	"	1 8 -	2	3
16	1½" do	"	- 12 -	2	2
17	4" C I Spigot & Socket Tee	"	12 - -	1	12
18	3" × 1½" × 3" Tee C I	"	1 8 -	1	2
19	2" × 1½" × 2" Tee	"	1 - -	2	2
20	1½" C I Tee	"	10 -	2	1
21	1½" × 1" × 1½" C I Tees	"	- 10 -	1	1
22	1½" × ¾" × 1½" do	"	- 10 -	11	7
23	2" × ¾" × 2" Tees	"	1 - -	2	2
24	¾" C I Elbows	"	- 4 -	68	17
	Carried over				4972

Brought Forward

25	4" to 3" G.I reducer	Each	10	-	1	10	
26	4" to 2" do	"	10	-	1	10	
27	3" to 2" G.I — do	"	1	-	1	1	
28	2" to 1½" Reducing Sockets G.I — do	"		12	-	2	2
29	1" to ¾" do	"		5	-	1	-
30	Waste not bibb cocks	"		5	-	17	238
31	Keys for operating valves & keys	"		14	-	2	10
	Total cost of materials						5243

Labour Charges

1	Earthwork & refilling pipe trench for 4" G.I pipes $\frac{1052}{3} \times \frac{3}{3} \times \frac{3}{3} = 351$ cyds	cyd		5	4	351	117
2	4" G.I lead jointing pipes & Specials	Each		2	15	-	59
3	Earthwork conveying, laying jointing G.I pipes & Specials including cuts & collar joints						20
	3" G.I pipes & Specials	Rft	-	4		1436	359
	2" do	"	-	3	-	1290	242
	1½ do	"	-	26		4585	716
	1" do	"	-	2	-	605	76
	¾ do	"	-	2	-	510	64
4	Street fountain platforms 4'x4' with drains complete	Each	25	-	-	17	425
5	Road box cisterns & fixing	"	3	-	-	28	84
6	Conveyance of repeat Specials	L	-	8	-		120
	Unforeseen items						195
	Total cost of Labour charges						2457
	Grand total						7700

Carried over for Executive Sanitary Engineer, C.P.

Bureau of Sanitary Engineering

Arthur Rao

Bangalore
10-12-31

Comparative Statement Showing the present modified estimate for Water Supply

Serial No	Item of work	Amount as per estimate submitted to C E vide No 1592/22-11-31	Amount the present modified estimate
1	Cost of Hydraulic Ram including erection	2500	2500
2	Constructing Feed & Ram Cisterns and Laying and jointing the Feed and Drive pipe	1164	1000
3	Laying and jointing 3" C I Rising main	5100	
4	Laying and jointing 3" Galvanized Iron Rising main		3150
5	Constructing a Masonry Service Reservoir of 40,000 Gallons Capacity in two compartments	4600	
6	—— Do —— Do — of one compartment holding a capacity of 20,000 Gallons		3000
7	Laying and jointing Town distribution	10,250	7,700
8	Pressure Jewell Filters of one unit with erection	6,000	6000
9	Constructing filter Shed	1,000	1000
	Total	30,614	24 350
	Contingencies at 5%	1538	1217
	Establishment & Tools & Plant charges at 18%	5778	4383
	Land compensation	378	
	Grand total	~~38,000~~ 38,300	29,950

K Hutcha Rao
Assistant Engineer,

Less	Remarks
164	? Hume pipes are provided instead of C I pipes Hence Savings
5100	Galvanized Iron pipes are proposed instead of C I pipes Hence there is a Savng.
4600	Only one compartment is proposed instead of two in the original estimate
2550	3" Galvanized Iron pipes are proposed for Submain instead of 3" cast Iron pipes Hence there is Savings
12,414	
213	Net Savings (by deducting more from Less (14.500 — 6150) = ~~Rs~~ 8,350/-
1395	
378	
14,500	

26/12

Executive Sanitary Engineer,
Bureau of Sanitary Engineering, Bangalore

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated, Bangalore, 2/9/32

No 2124
Mr 200-30-28

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq, B A B L,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.D.3.1624/30 dated 29th August 1932 stating that the Seringapatam Municipal Council is not in favour of the modified scheme of water supply costing Rs.13,205/- but ~~one~~ are in favour of the Scheme proposed by the Executive Engineer in January last at a cost of Rs.21,275, old pipes from Hulikere being supplied free of cost and the establishment and tools and plant charges being waived and that towards this latter estimate Mr.H. -- Ramaiya No.3 Seethavilas Agrahar, Mysore, has offered to pay half of it in annual instalments of Rs.1000/- provided Government are pleased to ~~mar~~ bear the other half, I am directed to observe that your letter does not make it clear how the Municipal Council's share viz.Rs.10,500 is to be met in the first @ instance. If the intention is that the amount is to be taken as a loan ~~for~~ from the Muzrai Department as stated in the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 9th August 1932 and repaid out of the sum of Rs.1000 received annually from Mr.Ramaiah from the interest on the State loan bonds deposited by him, it has to be -- explained how the interest at 6¼% on the amount of the loan is to be met.

An early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

2/9/32

for General Secretary to Government.

TD.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section 2nd

File No 200-30

Serial No 28.

Diary No of letter replied to

No 21724

To The Secy. Govt. Madras

Sir;

With reference to your letter No. D-3 6626/30 dy 28-8-32 stating that the Scheme of Palamcottah which is not in favour of the modified scheme of water supply costing Rs 13,20,5, but one in favour of the scheme proposed by the Engr in January last at a cost of Rs 21,27,5, also hopes from Hutchens being supplied free of cost and the establishment and works and plant charges being waived and that towards this latter estimate one Mr Ramanja Mr 3 Seethamadas Brahmans, myself has offered to pay half of it in annual instalments of Rs 1000/- provided Govt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 29

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

are pleased to learn the above news.
I am directed to observe that your
letter does not make it clear how the
real ele' share viz Rs 10,500 is the net
in the first instance. ~~and to enquire~~
~~Whether~~ the interest on that
~~the~~ amount is ~~calculated~~ to the Lakeri
as a loan from Surgeon Capt ~~@ 6 1/4~~
~~interest~~ as stated in the resolution Vide
Mech Dy 9-8-32 and repaid out of
the sum of Rs 1000 received annually from
our Nawab from the interest in the State
loan funds deposited by him, at last the explanation
interest at 6 1/4 % on the amount of the loan is
An early reply is requested

I have etc

for Secy to Govt
1/9
1/9

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

3 Abs

Diary No of letter replied to

Section 2nd
File No 200 – 30
Serial No 29.

No 1868 One one

To The chief Engr, U P S Civ;

~~The Ex Engr, Banswara & Engr~~ ~~Engr~~ I am directed to forward herewith a city & letter no 62 dy 26-1-32 addressed to the Chief Engr by the Executive Engr Re the estimate of Rs 31,180 for the Simpalam water supply scheme, in which it stated that the cost of the estimate will be reduced to Rs 21,173 if the pipes from Hukkera Tunnel works are supplied free of cost and of the cost and the other changes are noted. ~~and I am~~ to request you to be so kindes to intimate whether the pipes can be given free of cost, as proposed

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 7/3

Signed by Mr A.S. Rowe

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20-7 31

I have etc

D 57133 2m 31/7 Mdg Forbes Esq.

Extract

7th [illegible]

1868 Encore
Mil 200-30.29
T.e

General Department.

The Chief Engineer,
Krishnarajasagara Works, Bangalore.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter 82 dated 26th January 1932 addressed to the Chief Engineer by the Executive Sanitary Engineer regarding the estimate of Rs. 31,180 for the Seringapatam Water Supply Scheme, in which it is stated that the cost of t estimate will be reduced to Rs. 21,175 if the pipes fro Hulikere Tunnel Works are supplied free of cost and if establishment and tools and plant charges are waived an to request you to be so good as to intimate whether the pipes can be given free of cost, as proposed.

Acknowledgment required

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

3085

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 7/9

Signed by Mr A Sloan

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section 2nd

File No. 200-30

Serial No 30

No L1869

To The Engg Supdg Engr in Mysr.

Sir;

With reference to your D.O. note dt 4-7-32 stating that a loan of Rs 7500/- may be advanced from the funds of the Sri Lakshmi narayana swami temple at Seringapatam to the Seringapatam municipality at 6 1/4 % interest for meeting its share of the cost of the water supply scheme, the Govt are ~~Rs 30000~~, I am directed to state that the municipality is in favour of the scheme of Rs 21,275 (old pipes, viz 5,344, Rs 4,563 deducting cost of from Hulikere ~~to be supplied free~~, and Rs 2,750 charges ~~to be levied~~), the proposal of the Exe Engr, Bangalore Sanitary Engr in Jan 19; one half of this amount will have to be met by the Mysr Govt, the other

request you to be so good as to state whether it would be possible to advance Rs 3000 more from the funds of the Temple so as to make up Rs 10,500, which is the amount that would be required be supplied by the Municipality towards the cost of the Water Supply Scheme which they want to adopt

Yes, as already reported

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20-7-31

hall being met by Govt. The
Govt need seems to take the amount as
loan from the mysore Govt at 6 1/4 % interest
I am according to request you to be so good
as to intimate whether 3,000 rupees can
be spared from the funds of the temple, reported to

I have etc

Sec. Secy Govt

25 Jan
1913 3/9.

Extra 7th Septr. 2.

L 1869
mel vo-30-30

The

General Department.

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your Un-official Note dated 4th July 1932 stating that a loan of Rs. 7500/- may be advanced to the Seringapatam Municipality from the funds of the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple at Seringapatam at 6¼% interest for meeting ~~its~~ their share of the cost of the water supply scheme of the town, I am directed to request you to be so good as to state whether it would be possible to advance Rs. 3000 more from the funds of the temple so as to make up Rs. 10,500, which is the amount that would be required by the Municipal Council to meet their portion of the cost the water supply scheme which they want to adopt.

The

The favour of a very early reply is

I have th

Sir,

Your most obedient

For Secretary to Government
General Department.

c.n

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

3065

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 7/9

Signed by Mr H. S. Rowe

List of enclosures

opied by

xamined by

Section Mpl

File No 200-30

Serial No 31

No L1870

To The Secy Govt, R-Irrigation.

Sir; With reference to your DO letter No 1261 dt 30-5-1932 ~~The Collector, Nyanza reports that the Kenyapalam and~~ forwarding a ~~are not in favour of the~~ modified scheme of Water Supply costing Rs 13,205 for the Kenyapalam Water Supply prepared by the Ex Engr, Bureau of Sanitary Engineers, ~~but are in favour~~ of the scheme costing Rs 31,180 proposed by the Ex Sup. Bureau of Sanitary Engineers in his letter No 82 dt 26-1-32 (copy enclosed herewith) addressed to the Chief Engr. It will be seen from the Ex Engr's letter that the cost of the estimate will be reduced to Rs 21,175, if the pipes from Kibirichia Tunnel works are to be supplied free of cost and if the cost and lime and plant charges are to be borne by ~~approved~~ I am accordingly directed to request

Dear Sir I am directed to state that the Director Nyanza reports that the Kenyapalam people are not in favour of the said scheme, but are in favour of

The Chief Engr, Kibigoria is being consulted regarding the supply of pipes free of cost and I

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20-7 31

You to be so kind as to furnish your
as to whether the sketch and plans changes
can be waived ~~in this case~~ as proposed -
by ~~the Dept~~.

Sincerely

Jon
6/9
for Ken Frey

Jon
3/9

The

General Department.

The Sanitary Engineer,
Rockefellor Foundation.

With reference to your D.O. letter No. 1261 dated 30th May 1932 forwarding a modified scheme of Water Supply costing Rs.13,205 for the Seringapatam town, I am directed to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, reports that the Seringapatam Municipal Council are not in favour of the said scheme, but are in favour of the one costing Rs.31,18(proposed by the Executive Engineer, Bureau of Sanitary - Engineering, in his letter No. 82 dated 26th January 1932 addressed to the Chief Engineer (copy enclosed for reference). It will be seen from the Executive Engineer's letter that the cost of the estimate will be reduced to Rs. 21,175, if the pipes from Hulikere Tunnel works are to be supplied free of cost and if the establishment and

and tools and plant charges are waived. The Chief Engineer, Krishnaraja Sagara is being consulted regarding the supply of pipes free of cost and I am to request you to be so good as to furnish your opinion as to whether the establishment and tools and plant charges can be waived, as proposed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government
General Department.

ml200-30-32

No D3. 1624/30.
Encl

GEN & RFV. SECTN. 1120 7 SEPT 1932 L.R.C. BRANCH

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore, 6th September *193* 2

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 7 SEP ... No ... Early ... 7/9 ... mr A.A. ...

FROM

S ABDUL WAJID, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

TO

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

WATER SUPPLY SCHEME - SERINGAPATAM.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.L.1724/Ml. 200-30-28 dated 2nd September 1932 re the above, I have the honor to state that the Municipal Council's share of Rs.10,500/- is proposed to be met from grant of loan from the Muzrai Department to be repaid out of the sum of Rs.1000/- to be received annually from Mr. Ramaiya.

As regards the payment of interest at 6¼% on the loan it is proposed to be met from out of the income expected to be realised by the levy of water and pilgrim taxes.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Abdul Wajid

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

A.N.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

BUREAU OF SANITARY ENGINEERING

H F MARKER, Esq, L C E, M R San I
Executive Sanitary Engineer

RVE, M B, Ch B, D P H,
Director of Health

J J MIELDAZIS B Sc C E, M.A.S C.E.,
Rockefeller Foundation
Sanitary Engineer

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 1285 15 SEPT 1932

D O No S E 293

Encl

"GRACE VILLE" PALACE ROAD,

Bangalore (S India), dated September 12, 1932

GENERAL 14 SEP

Dear Sir,

Re: Seringapatam water supply.

With reference to your No. L. 1870 dated 7th September 1932 regarding the above, I wish to advise that the 18% for Establishment, tools and plant charges in connection with the above scheme may be waived by Government as this is a special case. I would however suggest that as a number of works already under execution this year will deplete the Rs. 25,000/- of the grant for water supply in towns, that the execution of the Seringapatam water supply scheme be deferred until the Municipality is in a more favourable financial position to undertake the work.

Sincerely yours,

J. J. Mieldazis,
SANITARY ENGINEER.

THE GENERAL SECRETARY TO
THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Bangalore.

JJM:MK

Mr 200-30-33

M C 13]

No 1494/31-32 GEN 19 SEP L. & E. POINT

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Dated, Bangalore, 15th/16 September *1932*

FROM S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~S. HIRIYANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
General *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
~~Department~~

1120
Dy 9285 A-S 16/9

GENERAL SECRETARY 16 SEP 1932 No. L.S.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.1869/Ml.200-30-30 dated 9th ult. 7th September 1932 re the loan to the Seringapatam Municipality --- from the funds of the Sri Pete Narayanaswami Temple at 6¼% interest from meeting their share of the cost of water supply scheme to the town, I have the honor to state that it will be possible to advance Rs. ~~50,000~~ 3,000/- more from the temple funds so as to make up Rs.10,500/- subject to the conditions stipulated in my Un-official Note of 4/6th July 1932.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Mr 200-30-34

Tel Address —'ELECSEC

No 1882/KSS
Encls

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
K R SAGARA WORKS

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd Sept. *193* 2

SECTT 1459 SEPT L. S. G. BRANCH

FROM

S SRINIVASA AIYAR, Esq., B A, B E, C E,
Chief Engineer, Krishnarajasagara Works,
BANGALORE

To

SECRETARY

The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 1868/M1 200-30-29 dated the 7th September 1932, requesting to intimate whether the pipes available at Hulikere Tunnel Works can be given free of cost for the Seringapatam Water Supply Scheme, I have the honour to state that Government have ordered in G.O No 1016-39/K S S 3376-99 dated the 23rd December 1931, that the surplus machinery and tools and plant and stock articles available at the Hulikere Tunnel Works which have been credited with a value of Rs 1 lakh, should be sold and the sale proceeds credited to Receipts on Capital Account It will not, therefore, be possible to give the pipes free of cost

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Srinivasa Aiya 23/9

Chief Engineer,
Krishnarajasagara Works

M.R M P.

Ml 200-30-35

No D3. 1624/30.
Encl 6 papers

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore, 12th October *193* 2

Seringapatam water supply Scheme

GEN. & REV. SECTT
17 OCT 1932
L. S. BRANCH

FROM

S ABDUL WAJID, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY
17 OCT 1932

Sir,

17/10

11120

WATER SUPPLY SCHEME - SERINGAPATAM.

In continuation of my letter No.D3 1624/30 dated 6th September 1932 re the above, I have the honor to state that Mr. H. Ramaiya who has promised to pay half the cost of the Scheme viz., Rs.10,500/- has sent a petition to the President of the Seringapatam Municipal Council. He represents that his object in contributing towards the scheme is that provision may be made in it for providing House Connections.

The petition was considered by the Municipal Council who have resolved that Government be requested for sanctioning House Connections as well as street taps.

The petition and the extract of the resolution of the Municipal Council received with report No.P.10 dated 24th September 1932 accompany for favour of consideration.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

e connected file (D.no 1455) has been sent to the Fin. Secy on 8/10/32. His minute in the matter is awaited on the file & ef apid.

Abdul Wajid
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

17/10 A.N.

Please enquire of the Ex Sec'ie is still with the Finance. I think it was called for a few days ago for some Board Dewan

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section 2nd

File No 200 - 30

Serial No 36

Diary No of letter replied to

No £ 3170

To The Secretary, Hyderabad

Sir;

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 53 G2R/30 dated 12-10-32 stating that the Secunderabad Municipality are in favour of the scheme of water supply to the town estimated to cost Rs 2,27,500, proposed by the Engineer & Supt, in Jan last, I am directed to state that the Government in the matter have decided to sell the pipes available at Hyderabad Tunnel works, and their value credited to the Irwin canal works, as they can not be given free and that the Municipality has to bear the scheme has to be over and above the finances. The Municipality needs are noted herein.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 8. 11 32

Signed by G. A. Subbarao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

(estimated to cost Rs 2,27,500) are supplied free of cost, and the Engineering & Supervising charges are waived.

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20-7 31

P.T.O.

Yours etc

for Secretary

Returns Rec'd 3171 dtd 8-11-32
Copy of this Copy for R. Foundation with
respect to correspondence ending with the letter rec'd
2-3 dtd 12-9-32

0-0

Ans 8/11
for Exec Secy.

mc
7/10

No L 3170
Rel. 200-30-36

4th November 19..

The

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. D.3. 1624/30, dated 12th October 1932, stating that the Seringapatam Municipal Council are in favour of the scheme of water supply to the town proposed by the Executive Engineer, Bureau of Sanitary Engineering in January last and estimated to cost Rs. 21,275/- if old pipes from Hulikere are supplied free of cost and the establishment and tools and plant charges are waived, I am directed to state that as the pipes available at Hulikere Tunnel works have to be sold and their value credited to the Irwin Canal works, they cannot be given free and that the scheme has to lie over till the finances of the Municipality improve.

The connected records are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- R. Ranga Rao,
General Secretary to Government.

Memo No. L. 3171 Bangalore, dated the 4th November 1932.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Sanitary Engineer, Rockefeller Foundation, with reference to correspondence ending with his letter No. 293, dated 12th September 1932.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Government